

Some relations between quantum Turing machines and Turing machines

Sicard, Andrés* and Vélez, Mario[†]
EAFIT University; Medellín, Colombia, S.A.

1st February 2008

Abstract

For quantum Turing machines we present three elements: Its components, its time evolution operator and its local transition function. The components are related with the components of deterministic Turing machines, the time evolution operator is related with the evolution of reversible Turing machines and the local transition function is related with the transition function of probabilistic and reversible Turing machines.

Resumen

Para las máquinas de Turing cuánticas se presentan tres elementos: Sus componentes, su operador de evolución temporal y su función de transición local. Los componentes son relacionados con los componentes de las máquinas de Turing determinísticas, el operador de evolución temporal es relacionado con la evolución de las máquina de Turing reversibles y la función de transición local es relacionada con la función de transición de las máquinas de Turing probabilísticas y reversibles.

1 Introduction

First time, David Deutsch [2] described quantum TM (Turing machine), he said the computational power of a quantum TM and an classical (deterministic) one are the same for functions from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. However, due to inherent properties of quantum TM, this equivalence is not immediate. Then it is necessary to introduce some distinctions for Turing machines. In particular, time evolution operator for quantum TM is unitary, it means, evolution is reversible; this reason because it is necessary to consider the quantum TM as a reversible one. On the other hand, local transition function for a quantum TM represents probability amplitude for evolution, in this case, it is necessary to consider the quantum TM as a probabilistic one.

*email address: asicard@sigma.eafit.edu.co

[†]email address: mvelez@sigma.eafit.edu.co

2 The components: quantum TM and deterministic TM

A TM has a finite set of states:

$$Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_p\}. \quad (1)$$

The states for an quantum TM are a finite set of observables:

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \{\hat{n}_1, \hat{n}_2, \dots, \hat{n}_k\}. \quad (2)$$

Every observable $\hat{n}_i \in \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ has spectrum $\{0, 1\}$ and every $q \in Q$ can take two values $\{current, non\ current\}$.

The TM works on a bi-infinity unidimensional tape. The machine reads from the tape or writes over it some symbols, that belong to a finite alphabet:

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}. \quad (3)$$

Quantum TM represents the bi-infinity unidimensional tape by an infinity set of observables:

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \{\hat{m}_i\}; \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4)$$

Every observable $\hat{m}_i \in \hat{\mathbf{m}}$ has spectrum $\{0, 1\}$ and every TM tape cell can take some values from the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.

The TM has a read-write head. This head marks the position of the machine on the tape. The quantum TM represents the read-write head by an observable $\hat{x}$. The spectrum for the observable $\hat{x}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ because of the existence of infinite cell in TM tape.

The instantaneous description of a TM is formed by the current state, the symbols on the tape and the read-write head's position. The state of a quantum TM is a [2] “unit vector in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ spanned by the simultaneous eigenvector:

$$|\psi\rangle = |x, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\rangle, \quad (5)$$

of $\hat{x}$, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$, labelled by the corresponding eigenvalues x , $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{m}$. The states given by equation (5) are called the computational bases states.

3 The evolution: quantum TM and reversible TM

Let Q be states set and let Σ be the alphabet for a TM. The machine movements set (left, no motion, right) on the tape is represented by:

$$D = \{-1, 0, 1\}. \quad (6)$$

Evolution for machine is represented for a finite set of instructions:

$$q, s, s', d, q' \quad \text{where} \quad q, q' \in Q; s, s' \in \Sigma; d \in D; \quad (7)$$

Instruction “ q, s, s', d, q' ” means: if the current state is q and if the symbol on the cell marked by the read-write head is s , the machine writes the symbol s' in this cell, the machine moves in to direction marked by d and the machine goes to state q' . Then, evolution for TM is a transition function δ :

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma \times \Sigma \times D \times Q \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{where}, \quad (8)$$

$$\delta(q, s, s', d, q') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } q, s, s', d, q' \text{ is an instruction for the TM,} \\ 0 & \text{iff } q, s, s', d, q' \text{ is not an instruction for the TM.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The TM is deterministic if and only if the transition function δ satisfies:

For any $(q, s) \in Q \times \Sigma$:

$$\sum_{\substack{s' \in \Sigma \\ d \in D \\ q' \in Q}} \delta(q, s, s', d, q') \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (10)$$

A deterministic TM is a reversible one if and only if the transition function δ satisfies for any $(q, s), (q', s') \in Q \times \Sigma$ with $(q, s) \neq (q', s')$:

$$\sum_{\substack{s'' \in \Sigma \\ d \in D \\ q'' \in Q}} \delta(q, s, s'', d, q'') + \delta(q', s', s'', d, q'') \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, the evolution for a quantum TM during a single computational step is [2, 4]:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U^t |\psi(0)\rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad \text{where } U^\dagger = U^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

U is an unitary operator called time evolution operator. Because U is unitary, the evolution of a quantum TM is reversible. Bennett proved that for any deterministic TM there is an equivalent reversible TM [2], in this way irreversibility is not an essential feature of TM, while reversibility is an essential feature of a quantum TM.

4 The transition function: quantum TM and probabilistic TM

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ be the computable real numbers set and let $\widetilde{[0,1]}$ be the computable real numbers belong interval $[0,1]$. If the transition function for a Turing Machine is:

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma \times \Sigma \times D \times Q \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{R}}, \quad (13)$$

and satisfies for any $(q, s) \in Q \times \Sigma$:

$$\sum_{\substack{s' \in \Sigma \\ d \in D \\ q' \in Q}} \delta(q, s, s', d, q') \in \widetilde{[0,1]}, \quad (14)$$

then the Turing machine is a probabilistic TM [3]. The transition function δ of a probabilistic TM means that if the current state is q and if the symbol on the cell marked by the read-write head is s , the probability of writing the symbol s' in this cell, of moving into the direction marked for d and of changing to current state q' is given by the value of function $\delta(q, s, s', d, q')$.

A Turing machine operates by finite means [5]. The finite operation of a (deterministic, reversible, probabilistic) TM is supported in finite process unit set and finite alphabet (this implies a finite instructions set). On the other hand, a quantum TM operates by finite means if and only if [2]: *“only a finite subsystem is in motion during any one step, and the motion depends only on the state of a finite subsystem, and the rule that specifies that motion can be given finitely in the mathematical sense”*.

To meet the requirements of finite operation, matrix elements of time evolution (unitary) operator U given by the equation (12) will have the form given by [2, 4]:

For any states $|x, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\rangle$ and $|x', \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}'\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x', \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}' | U | x, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \rangle = & \\
& \left[\delta_{x'}^{x+1} \delta(\mathbf{n}, m_x, m'_x, 1, \mathbf{n}') + \delta_{x'}^x \delta(\mathbf{n}, m_x, m'_x, 0, \mathbf{n}') + \right. \\
& \left. \delta_{x'}^{x-1} \delta(\mathbf{n}, m_x, m'_x, -1, \mathbf{n}') \right] \prod_{y \neq x} \delta_{m_y}^{m'_y}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where δ is Kronecker delta and δ is local transition function for a quantum TM.

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex computable numbers set, let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1\}^k$ be, where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is given by equation (2); let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be, where $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ is given by equation (4) and let $m_x \in \Sigma$ be, where Σ is given by equation (3). The function δ is a function [1, 4]:

$$\delta: \mathbf{N} \times \Sigma \times \Sigma \times D \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{16}$$

If the state of a quantum TM is $|x, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\rangle$ and $d = x' - x$, the function $\delta(\mathbf{n}, m_x, m'_x, d, \mathbf{n}')$ represents probability amplitude for to evolve to state $|x', \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}'\rangle$.

From the equation (15) it is possible determinate the local transition function δ from time evolution operator U . Conversely, is possible determinate the time evolution operator U from the local transition function δ by [4]:

$$U | x, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \rangle = \sum_{\substack{m'_x \in \Sigma \\ d \in D \\ \mathbf{n}' \in \mathbf{N}}} \delta(\mathbf{n}, m_x, m'_x, d, \mathbf{n}') | x + d, \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}' \rangle. \tag{17}$$

Local transition δ should (indirectly) satisfy equation (12). Operator U is unitary if and only if δ satisfies the following conditions [4]:

1. For any $(\mathbf{n}, m_x) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{M}$:

$$\sum_{\substack{m'_x \in \Sigma \\ d \in D \\ \mathbf{n}' \in \mathbf{N}}} |\delta(\mathbf{n}, m_x, m'_x, d, \mathbf{n}')|^2 = 1. \tag{18}$$

2. For any $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, x), (\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}', x') \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, x) \neq (\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{m}', x')$:

$$\sum_{\star} \delta(\mathbf{n}', m'_{x'}, m_{x'}^{\diamond}, d', \mathbf{p})^* \delta(\mathbf{n}, m_x, m_x^{\diamond}, d, \mathbf{p}) = 0. \tag{19}$$

where, the summation $\sum_{\star}$ is taken over all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{N}$; $\mathbf{m}^{\circ} \in \mathbf{M}$; $d, d' \in D$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x + d = x' + d'$.

Equation (18) is quantum counterpart for equation (14), under the relation between probability and probability amplitude. Equation (19) is quantum counterpart for equation (11), it means, the local transition function δ should be reversible.

5 Conclusion

There are some “classic” models (deterministic, reversible, probabilistic) equivalent (from computability’s point of view) for a Turing machine. Other model, the quantum Turing machine can be compared to these models. From a “physics” perspective, it means, from U evolution operator’s point of view, a quantum TM can be seen as a reversible TM and from a “mathematical” perspective, it means, from the δ local transition function’s point of view, a quantum TM can be seen how an probabilistic and reversible TM.

6 Acknowledgements

The paper was financed by EAFIT University, under the research project number 817407.

References

- [1] BERNSTEIN, E., AND VAZARINI, U. Quantum Complexity Theory. *Siam Journal on Computing* 26, 5 (October 1997), 1411–1473.
- [2] DEUTSCH, D. Quantum theory, the Church-Turing principle and the universal quantum computer. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 400 (1985), 97–117.
- [3] GILL, J. Computational complexity of probabilistic turing machines. *Siam Journal on Computing* 6, 4 (December 1977), 675–695.
- [4] OZAWA, M., AND NISHIMURA, H. Local Transition Function of Quantum Turing Machines. <http://xxx.lanl.gov/ps/quant-ph/9811069.ps>, 1999.
- [5] TURING, A. M. On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proc. London Math. Soc* (1936). A correction, *ibid*, vol 43. 1936-1937. págs. 544 - 546.